#### NT COOPERATION TREATY **PCT**

PEC'D	2	9	NOA	2004
WIPO			F	PCT

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

0124		FOR FURTHER ACTION See N Prelim		
		International filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)	
			30.08.2002	
ternational Pa	atent Classification (IPC)	or both national classification and IPC	· ·	
07D207/32	25			
pplicant				
SYNGENT	PARTICIPATIONS	S AG et al.		
1. This in Author	ternational preliminary ity and is transmitted t	examination report has been prepared by o the applicant according to Article 36.	this International Preliminary Examining	
2. This R	EPORT consists of a	total of 4 sheets, including this cover shee	et.	
п.	This report is also acco		description, claims and/or drawings which have praining rectifications made before this Authority	
	(000 110.0 1 0 1 1			
	annexes consist of a	total of sheets.		
	annexes consist of a	total of sheets.		
These	eport contains indicati	ions relating to the following items:		
These	report contains indicati	ions relating to the following items:		
These	report contains indicati	ions relating to the following items:	ntive step and industrial applicability	
These	report contains indicati  Basis of the opi Priority Non-establishm	ions relating to the following items: nion lent of opinion with regard to novelty, invel		
These	report contains indicati  ☐ Basis of the opi ☐ Priority ☐ Non-establishm ☐ Lack of unity of	ions relating to the following items:  nion  lent of opinion with regard to novelty, invelinvention  ment under Bule 66.2(a)(jj) with regard to	ntive step and industrial applicability novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;	
3. This I	Basis of the opi Priority Non-establishm Lack of unity of Reasoned state citations and ex	ions relating to the following items:  nion  lent of opinion with regard to novelty, inversion  lement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to opinions supporting such statement		
3. This i	Basis of the opi Priority Non-establishm Lack of unity of Reasoned state citations and ex	ions relating to the following items:  nion  lent of opinion with regard to novelty, inversion  lement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to opinions supporting such statement		
3. This III III V	Basis of the opi Priority Non-establishm Lack of unity of Reasoned state citations and ex Certain docume	ions relating to the following items:  nion  eent of opinion with regard to novelty, inversion  ement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to opinions supporting such statement		
3. This is ill lill lV V	Basis of the opi Priority Non-establishm Lack of unity of Reasoned state citations and ex Certain docume	ions relating to the following items: nion lent of opinion with regard to novelty, invel invention ement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to kplanations supporting such statement ents cited in the international application		
3. This is ill lill lV V	Basis of the opi Priority Non-establishm Lack of unity of Reasoned state citations and ex Certain docum Certain defects Certain observ	ions relating to the following items: nion lent of opinion with regard to novelty, inversion lement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to explanations supporting such statement lents cited lents in the international application ations on the international application		
3. This is ill lill lV V	Basis of the opi Priority Non-establishm Lack of unity of Reasoned state citations and ex Certain docume Certain defects Certain observ	ions relating to the following items: nion lent of opinion with regard to novelty, inversion lement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to explanations supporting such statement lents cited lents in the international application ations on the international application	novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;	
3. This is i	Basis of the opi Priority Non-establishm Lack of unity of Reasoned state citations and exitations and exitations docume Certain docume Certain observ  mission of the demand	ions relating to the following items: nion sent of opinion with regard to novelty, inversion ement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to explanations supporting such statement ents cited in the international application ations on the international application  Date of co	novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;  mpletion of this report	
3. This is i	Basis of the opi Priority Non-establishm Lack of unity of Reasoned state citations and exitations and exitations defects Certain defects Certain observ  mailing address of the invexamining authority:	ions relating to the following items: nion  ment of opinion with regard to novelty, invention ement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to explanations supporting such statement ents cited in the international application ations on the international application  Date of co  26.11.20  hternational  Authorized	mpletion of this report  O04  d Officer	
3. This is i	Basis of the opi Priority Non-establishm Lack of unity of Reasoned state citations and excitations are consistent of the inverse priority.  Buropean Patent Office in the inverse part of the inverse patent of the	ions relating to the following items: nion  ment of opinion with regard to novelty, invention ment under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to explanations supporting such statement ents cited in the international application ations on the international application  Date of co	mpletion of this report  O04  d Officer	

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/09636

			_
1	<b>Basis</b>	of the	report

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	Desc	ription, Pages				
	1-67		as originally filed			
	,					
	Clair	ns, Numbers				
	1-7		as originally filed			
<ol> <li>With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.</li> </ol>						
	Thes	se elements were avai	lable or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:			
$\square$ the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Ru						
	П	cation of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).				
		the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3).				
3.	<ol> <li>With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:</li> </ol>					
		contained in the inter	national application in written form.			
		filed together with the	e international application in computer readable form.			
		furnished subsequen	tly to this Authority in written form.			
		furnished subsequen	tly to this Authority in computer readable form.			
		in the international at	ne subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure oplication as filed has been furnished.			
		The statement that the listing has been furni	ne information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence shed.			
4	. The	e amendments have re	esulted in the cancellation of:			
		the description,	pages:			
		the claims,	Nos.:			
		the drawings,	sheets:			
5	i. 🗆	been considered to	n established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).			
		(Any replacement si report.)	heet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this			
6	6. Ad	ditional observations,	if necessary:			

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/09636

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1-7

1-7

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

. 4

/<u>;</u> .

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

M. . Olaima

Yes: Claims

No: Claims 1-7

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

Industrial applicability (IA)

see separate sheet





#### International application No. PCT/EP 03/09636 INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY **EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET**

- The priority documents pertaining to the present application were not available at the time 1. of establishing the first written opinion. Hence, it is based on the assumption that all claims enjoy priority rights from the filing date of the priority document. If it later turns out that this is not correct documents D1: WO 03/042147 A (BAYER CROPSCIENCE S.A.) and D2: WO 2004/002943 A (SYNGENTA PARTICIPATIONS AG) cited in the International Search Report could become relevant in order to assess whether the claims satisfy the criteria set forth in Article 33 (1) PCT.
- The subject-matter claimed in the present application overlaps with the subject-matter 2. defined in the document classified "X" in the International Search Report (ISER) on a generic and specific level. Hence, the overlapping area is considered not to be new under 33 (2) PCT and the Applicant is requested to delimit the claims accordingly.

With regard to the presence of inventive step reference is made to the documents classified "X" and "Y" in the ISER wherein the same or similar compounds possessing pesticidal activity have been disclosed. Substituents therein are the same as or similar to those given in the present application.

Taking into account these facts the man skilled in the art would have to expect the pesticidal activity without affecting their basic capabilities when modifying the basic moiety and/or the substituents of the groups of compounds disclosed in the state of the art. Thus representing only predictable effects the compounds claimed are considered to be obvious.

Consequently, at present, Claims 1 to 7 are also lacking inventive step under Article 33 (3) PCT.